

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/464,497	12/15/1999	MICHAEL A'HEARN	99-120-4	7647
7590 12/16/2003			EXAMINER	
J W BURROWS CATERPILLAR INC			LOPEZ, FRANK D	
PATENT DEPARTMENT AB 6490			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 N.E.ADAMS STREET			3745	
PEORIA, IL 616296490			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	

18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/464,497	A'HEARN ET AL.					
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit					
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the shortened statutory period for shortened statutory period for the shorten	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	Brief must be filed within the pe						
2. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 2,3,9-16 Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-8		0	Tole.				
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-8							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							
		F. Daniel Lopez Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3745	logy/				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/464,497



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Although the new limitation overcomes the disclosure of Budzich, it is unclear whether this limitation makes the claim allowable. For example, there might be a 103 rejection based on Imada. Therefore, there is a need for more consideration.